

1 Nathan R. Ring
2 NV State Bar No. 12078
3 **STRANCH, JENNINGS & GARVEY, PLLC**
4 3100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 208
5 Las Vegas, NV 89102
6 Telephone: (725) 235-9750
7 lasvegas@stranchlaw.com

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class*
9 [Additional Counsel listed on signature page]

10 Todd L. Bice
11 **PISANELLI BICE PLLC**
12 400 S. 7th Street Suite 300
13 Las Vegas, NV 89101
14 Telephone: 702.214.2100
15 tlb@pisanellibice.com

16 Angela C. Agrusa*
17 **DLA PIPER LLP (US)**
18 2000 Avenue of the Stars
19 Suite 400 North Tower
20 Los Angeles, CA 90067-4735
21 Telephone: 310.595.3000
22 angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com

23 **Pro hac vice application forthcoming*

24 *Attorneys for Defendant*
25 *MGM Resorts International*

26
27
28
19 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
20 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

21 PAUL ZARI, individually and on behalf of all
22 others similarly situated,

Case No. 2:23-cv-01777

23 Plaintiff,

24 v.
25 **AMENDED STIPULATION TO**
26 **EXTEND TIME TO FILE**
27 **DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO**
28 **COMPLAINT**
(FOURTH REQUEST)

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL,

Defendant.

1 Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, Plaintiff Paul Zari and Defendant MGM Resorts International
 2 (“MGM”) (collectively, the “Parties”) respectfully stipulate MGM’s time to respond to the
 3 Complaint be extended from the current deadline of March 11, 2024 to and including April
 4 10, 2024. This is the fourth stipulation for an extension of time to file MGM’s responsive
 5 pleading. The court previously granted an extension on January 9, 2024. ECF No. 23.

6 Good cause exists to enlarge the time for MGM to respond to the Complaint. There
 7 are currently thirteen other related actions filed against MGM pending in this District (the
 8 “Related Actions”). *See Kirwan v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01481; *Owens v. MGM*
 9 *Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01480; *Lackey v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01549;
 10 *Zussman v. Vici Properties Inc., et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-01537; *Terezo v. MGM Resorts Int’l*,
 11 No. 2:23-cv-01577; *Pircio v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01550; *Bezak v. MGM Resorts*
 12 *Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01719; *Albrigo v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-1981; *Rundell v. MGM*
 13 *Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01698; *Manson v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01826; *Sloan*
 14 *v. Vici Properties Inc., et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-02042; *Righetti v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-
 15 cv-2064; *Mejia v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-00081.

16 On December 6 and December 20, 2023, plaintiffs’ counsel in six consolidated
 17 putative class actions brought against MGM by individuals who allege their PII was
 18 compromised as a result of a cybersecurity incident involving MGM in 2019 (the “2019
 19 Actions”) filed Notices of Related Cases Pursuant to District of Nevada Local Rule 42.1,
 20 notifying the Court that the Related Actions are related to the 2019 Actions. *In re: MGM*
 21 *Resorts Int’l Data Breach Litig.*, No. 2:20-CV-00376-GMN-NJK, ECF Nos. 186, 188.
 22 Plaintiffs in this action have opposed this effort because the 2019 Actions involved a
 23 different threat actor and different data. No order has issued on the notices filed by the
 24 plaintiffs in the 2019 Actions, and MGM has not responded to any other Complaint in the
 25 Related Actions.

26 The parties in the Related Actions are discussing this development in addition to the
 27 consolidation of the Related Actions. The parties in the Related Actions have also engaged
 28 in preliminary information exchange that may avoid the need for certain motion practice,

1 which would conserve judicial resources. As such, additional time is required to permit time
2 to meet and confer with the various parties to the Related Actions.

3 The Parties' request is made in good faith to enable the parties to finalize the joint
4 motion for consolidation and conserve judicial and party resources. Moreover, this case is
5 in its infancy, and this request will not prejudice any party.

6 **WHEREAS** the Parties respectfully request that MGM shall have until April 10,
7 2024, to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

8 | Dated: March 7, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

10 By: /s/ J. Gerard Stranch IV
11 Nathan R. Ring
12 NV State Bar No. 12078
STRANCH, JENNINGS & GARVEY, PLLC
3100 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite 208
Las Vegas, NV 89102
lasvegas@stranchlaw.com

13 J. Gerard Stranch IV (Pro Hac Vice)
14 **STRANCH, JENNINGS & GARVEY, PLLC**
15 The Freedom Center
16 223 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, Suite 200
Nashville, TN 37203
gstranch@stranchlaw.com

17 Lynn A. Toops (Pro Hac Vice)
18 Amina A. Thomas (Pro Hac Vice)
COHEN & MALAD, LLP
19 One Indiana Square, Suite 1400
Indianapolis, IN 46204
20 ltoops@cohenandmalad.com
athomas@cohenandmalad.com

21 *Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class*

and

1 By: /s/ Todd L. Bice
2 Todd L. Bice
3 **PISANELLI BICE, PLLC**
4 400 S. 7th Street Suite 300
Las Vegas, NV 89101
tlb@pisanellibice.com

5 Angela C. Agrusa
6 **DLA PIPER LLP (US)**
7 2000 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 400 North Tower
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4735
Telephone: 310.595.3000
8 Angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com

9 *Attorneys for Defendant*
MGM Resorts International
10

11
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**
13

14 
15 THE HONORABLE BRENDA WEKSLER
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

17 DATED: 3/8/2024
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28